arXiv:1703.03646v1 [hep-ph] 10 Mar 2017

KIAS-P17017

$\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu}$ and τ polarization in a generic two-Higgs-doublet model

Chuan-Hung Chen[1,*] and Takaaki Nomura[2,†]

[1]*Department of Physics, National Cheng-Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan*

[2]*School of Physics, KIAS, Seoul 130-722, Korea*

(Dated: March 13, 2017)

Abstract

We study the influence of a charged-Higgs on the excess of branching fraction ratio, $R_M = BR(\bar{B} \to M\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau)/BR(\bar{B} \to M\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell)$ $(M = D, D^*)$, in a generic two-Higgs-doublet model. For investigating the lepton polarization, the detailed decay amplitudes with lepton helicity are given. When the charged-Higgs is used to resolve the excesses, it is found that two independent Yukawa couplings are needed to together explain the R_D and R_{D^*} anomalies and simultaneously fit the branching ratios of the decays within 2σ errors. We find that when all measurements in the B decays are satisfied, the τ-lepton polarizations can be significantly affected by the charged-Higgs effects, where the standard model predictions are obtained as: $P_D^\tau \approx 0.331$ and $P_{D^*}^\tau \approx -0.513$. The lepton froward-backward asymmetry (FBA) is also studied. It is found that the asymmetry in the $\bar{B} \to D^*\tau\nu_\tau$ decay is more sensitive to the charged-Higgs effects, where in addition to the magnitude changed, the sign of the integrated FBA can be also reversed.

*Electronic address: physchen@mail.ncku.edu.tw

†Electronic address: nomura@kias.re.kr

The exclusive semileptonic $\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ decay has drawn a lot of attention since BaBar collaboration reported the evidence for an excess in the branching fraction ratio [1, 2], which is defined as:

$$R_{D^{(*)}} = \frac{BR(\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu})}{BR(\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\ell\bar{\nu})}\,. \tag{1}$$

Intriguingly, the similar excess was also reported by Belle [3–5] and LHCb [6]. The averaged results from the heavy flavor averaging group (HFAG) now are given as [7]:

$$\begin{aligned} R_D &= 0.403 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.024\,, \\ R_{D^*} &= 0.310 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.008\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the standard model (SM) predictions are: $R_D \approx 0.292$ and $R_{D^*} \approx 0.245$. Inspired by the unexpected measurements, many implications and speculations were studied in the literature [8–51]

In addition to the branching fraction ratio, Belle recently showed the measurement of τ polarization in the $\bar{B} \to D^*\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ decay as:

$$P^\tau_{D^*} = \frac{\Gamma^{h=+}_{D^*} - \Gamma^{h=-}_{D^*}}{\Gamma^{h=+}_{D^*} + \Gamma^{h=-}_{D^*}} = -0.38 \pm 0.51^{+0.21}_{-0.16}\,, \tag{3}$$

where $\Gamma^{\pm}_{D^*}$ denotes the partial decay rate with a τ-lepton helicity of $h = \pm$ and the SM result is $P^\tau_{D^*} \approx -0.513$. Although the errors of the current observation are still large, any significant deviation from the SM result can indicate the new physics. Accordingly, we comprehensively investigate the $\bar{B} \to (D, D^*)\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays, in which the studies include the branching ratios (BRs), R_D, R_{D^*}, lepton-helicity asymmetries, and lepton forward-backward asymmetries. Based on the phenomenological analysis, we consider the impacts of a charged-Higgs in a generic two-Higgs-doublet model (THDM) [9, 52, 53].

Without imposing extra global symmetry, it is known that the flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNCs) in the THDM can be induced at the tree level. The related couplings can be severely constrained by the $\Delta F = 2$ processes, such as $K - \bar{K}$, $B_q - \bar{B}_q$ (q=d,s), and $D - \bar{D}$ mixings. However, these constraints happen in the down-type quarks and the first two generations of up-type quarks. The top-quark involving effects may not have direct bounds. In addition, the tree-level FCNCs in the lepton sector not only can resolve the muon $g-2$ anomaly, but also can provide the large BR to the lepton-flavor violating process such as $h \to \tau\mu$, where the details can refer to previous work [53]. In this study, we apply the new Yukawa couplings to the semileptonic B decays by the mediation of the charged-Higgs.

To obtain the scalar couplings to the quarks and leptons in the model, the Yukawa sector is written as [53, 57]:

$$\begin{aligned}-\mathcal{L}_Y = &\bar{Q}_L Y_1^d D_R H_1 + \bar{Q}_L Y_2^d D_R H_2 \\ &+ \bar{Q}_L Y_1^u U_R \tilde{H}_1 + \bar{Q}_L Y_2^u U_R \tilde{H}_2 \\ &+ \bar{L} Y_1^\ell \ell_R H_1 + \bar{L} Y_2^\ell \ell_R H_2 + H.c.\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the flavor indices are suppressed, $Q_L^T = (u,d)_L$ and $L^T = (\nu,\ell)_L$ are the $SU(2)_L$ quark and lepton doublets, respectively, $Y_{1,2}^f$ are the Yukawa matrices, $\tilde{H}_2 = i\tau_2 H_2^*$ with $(i\tau_2)_{11(22)} = 0$ and $(i\tau_2)_{12(21)} = 1(-1)$, and the Higgs doublets are usually taken as:

$$H_i \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} \phi_i^+ \\ (v_i + \phi_i + i\eta_i)/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

with v_i being the VEV of H_i. Eq. (4) can recover the type II THDM if $Y_2^{d,\ell}$ and Y_1^u vanish. Since ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the two CP-even scalars and they mix, we can introduce a mixing α angle to describe their physical states. The CP-odd and charged scalars are composed of the $\eta_{1,2}$ and $\phi_{1,2}^\pm$, respectively; therefore, the mixing angle only depends on the ratio of v_1 and v_2. Hence, the relations between the physical and weak scalar states are expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} h &= -s_\alpha \phi_1 + c_\alpha \phi_2\,, \\ H &= c_\alpha \phi_1 + s_\alpha \phi_2\,, \\ H^\pm(A) &= -s_\beta \phi_1^\pm(\eta_1) + c_\beta \phi_2^\pm(\eta_2)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where h is the SM-like Higgs while H, A, and $H^\pm$ are new particles in the THDM, $c_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \cos\alpha(\sin\alpha)$, $c_\beta = \cos\beta = v_1/v$, and $s_\beta = \sin\beta = v_2/v$.

Using Eqs. (4) and (5), the quark and charged lepton mass matrices can be written as:

$$\mathbf{M}^f = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}\left(c_\beta Y_1^f + s_\beta Y_2^f\right)\,. \tag{7}$$

We can diagonalize $\mathbf{M}^f$ by introducing unitary matrices V_L^f and V_R^f via $\mathbf{m}_{\rm dia}^f = V_L^f \mathbf{M}^f V_R^{f\dagger}$. Accordingly, the charged Higgs Yukawa couplings to fermions can be found as [53]:

$$\begin{aligned}-\mathcal{L}_Y^{H^\pm} = &\sqrt{2}\bar{d}_L \mathbf{V}^\dagger \left[-\frac{\cot\beta}{v}\mathbf{m_u} + \frac{\mathbf{X}^u}{s_\beta}\right] u_R H^- \\ &+ \sqrt{2}\bar{u}_L \mathbf{V} \left[-\frac{\tan\beta}{v}\mathbf{m_d} + \frac{\mathbf{X}^d}{c_\beta}\right] d_R H^+ \\ &+ \sqrt{2}\bar{\nu}_L \left[-\frac{\tan\beta}{v}\mathbf{m}_\ell + \frac{\mathbf{X}^\ell}{c_\beta}\right] \ell_R H^+ + H.c.\,, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{V}$ denotes the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) and $\mathbf{X^f}$ is defined as:

$$\mathbf{X^u} = V_L^u \frac{Y_1^u}{\sqrt{2}} V_R^{u\dagger}\,,\ \mathbf{X^d} = V_L^d \frac{Y_2^d}{\sqrt{2}} V_R^{d\dagger}\,,\ \mathbf{X}^\ell = V_L^\ell \frac{Y_2^\ell}{\sqrt{2}} V_R^{\ell\dagger}\,. \tag{9}$$

From Eq. (8), it can be seen that X_{ij}^f dictates not only FCNCs but also the violation of lepton universality; in addition, the effects of X^d and X^ℓ can be further enhanced with a large value of $\tan\beta$, i.e., $c_\beta \ll 1$. Although the Y_1^f and Y_2^f Yukawa matrices basically are arbitrary free parameters, since they are related to the fermion masses, in order to show the mass-dependence effects, we further adopt the Cheng-Sher ansatz for X_{ij}^f as $X_{ij}^f = \sqrt{m_i^f m_j^f}/v\,\chi_{ij}^f$ [58], where X_{ij}^f now are suppressed by $\sqrt{m_i^f m_j^f}/v$ and χ_{ij}^f are the free parameters.

Before we further discuss the effective interactions mediated by the charged Higgs for the $b \to c\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ process, we analyze the effect of $\mathbf{X}^u$ associated with the CKM matrix, such as $(\mathbf{V}^\dagger\mathbf{X^u})_{bc}$ in Eq. (8). If we assume that $\mathbf{X^u}$ is a diagonal matrix, it can be clearly seen that $(\mathbf{V}^\dagger\mathbf{X^u})_{bc} = V_{cb}^* X_{cc}^u$. With the Cheng-Sher ansatz, the charged Higgs Yukawa couplings are then suppressed by m_c/v. Due to no large $\tan\beta$ enhancement, it is expected that the contribution is small. However, if X_{ij}^u with $i \neq j$ are allowed, it is found that $(\mathbf{V}^\dagger\mathbf{X^u})_{bc}$ can be simplified as:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{V}^\dagger\mathbf{X^u})_{bc} &= V_{ub}^* X_{uc}^u + V_{cb}^* X_{cc}^u + V_{tb}^* X_{tc}^u\,,\\ &\approx \frac{\sqrt{m_t m_c}}{v}\chi_{tc}^u\,,\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where we have taken $|V_{ub}| < |V_{cb}| \ll V_{tb} \approx 1$ and the Cheng-Sher relation. With the approximation $\sqrt{m_t m_c}/v \sim V_{cb}$, $\chi_{tc}^u \sim O(1)$ indicates a large coupling at the b-c-$H^\pm$ vertex and could help to resolve the excesses. The similar situation also happens in the $(\mathbf{VX^d})_{cb}$, which can be expressed as $(\mathbf{VX^d})_{cb}/c_\beta \approx \sqrt{m_b m_s}\chi_{sb}^d/v$. Since χ_{sb}^d can be limited by the $\Delta B = 2$ process via the mediation of neutral scalar bosons at the tree level, we can ignore its contribution. Hence, we focus on the influence of χ_{tc}^u.

Combing the SM and $H^\pm$ contributions, the effective Hamiltonian for $b \to c\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ is written as:

$$H_{\rm eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb}\left[(\bar{c}b)_{V-A}(\bar{\ell}\nu)_{V-A} + C_L^\ell(\bar{c}b)_{S-P}(\bar{\ell}\nu)_{S-P} + C_R^\ell(\bar{c}b)_{S+P}(\bar{\ell}\nu)_{S-P}\right]\,, \tag{11}$$

where $(\bar{f}'f)_{V\pm A} = \bar{f}'\gamma^\mu(1\pm\gamma_5)f$, $(\bar{f}'f)_{S\pm P} = \bar{f}'(1\pm\gamma_5)f$, and the coefficients from the

charged Higgs with $g^2/(8m_W^2) = 1/(2v^2)$ are given by:

$$C_L^\ell \approx -\frac{m_c m_\ell}{m_{H^\pm}^2}\left(1-\frac{\chi_{\ell\ell}^\ell}{s_\beta}\right)\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{m_t}{m_c}}\frac{\chi_{ct}^u}{c_\beta V_{cb}}\right), \tag{12}$$

$$C_R^\ell \approx -\frac{m_b m_\ell \tan^2\beta}{m_{H^\pm}^2}\left(1-\frac{\chi_{\ell\ell}^\ell}{s_\beta}\right). \tag{13}$$

It can be seen that without $\chi_{\ell\ell}^\ell$ and χ_{ct}^u, both C_L^ℓ and C_R^ℓ are negative and correspond to the type-II THDM; as a result, they are destructive contributions to the SM [1]. In addition to the sign issue, we also need to adjust $C_R^\ell + C_L^\ell$ and $C_R^\ell - C_L^\ell$ so that the R_D and R_{D^*} can be explained at the same time. In order to demonstrate the effects of the generic THDM, we will discuss the situations with and without $\chi_{\ell\ell}^\ell$ and χ_{ct}^u when we introduce the differential decay rates for the $\bar{B} \to (D, D^*)\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays.

To calculate the exclusive semileptonic B decays, we parametrize the $B \to (D, D^*)$ transition form factors as:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle D(p_2)|\bar{c}\gamma^\mu b|\bar{B}(p_1)\rangle &= F_1(q^2)\left[P^\mu - \frac{P\cdot q}{q^2}q^\mu\right] + F_0(q^2)\frac{P\cdot q}{q^2}q^\mu,\\ \langle D(p_2)|\bar{c}b|\overline{B}(p_1)\rangle &= (m_B+m_D)F_S(q^2),\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle D^*(p_2,\epsilon)|\overline{c}\gamma^\mu b|\overline{B}(p_1)\rangle &= \frac{V(q^2)}{m_B+m_{D^*}}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\epsilon_\nu^* P_\rho q_\sigma,\\ \langle D^*(p_2,\epsilon)|\overline{c}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 b|\overline{B}(p_1)\rangle &= 2im_{D^*}A_0(q^2)\frac{\epsilon^*\cdot q}{q^2}q^\mu + i(m_B+m_{D^*})A_1(q^2)\left[\epsilon_\mu^* - \frac{\epsilon^*\cdot q}{q^2}q^\mu\right]\\ &\quad - iA_2(q^2)\frac{\epsilon^*\cdot q}{m_B+m_{D^*}}\left[P^\mu - \frac{P\cdot q}{q^2}q^\mu\right],\\ \langle D^*(p_2,\epsilon)|\overline{c}\gamma_5 b|\overline{B}(p_1)\rangle &= -i\epsilon^*\cdot q F_P(q^2),\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $\epsilon^{0123} = 1$, $P = p_1 + p_2$, $q = p_1 - p_2$, ϵ is the polarization vector of D^* meson, and $\epsilon\cdot\epsilon^* = -1$. Using equations of motion $i\not{\partial}b = m_b b$ and $\bar{c}i\overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} = -m_c\bar{c}$, we obtain the relationships as:

$$F_S(q^2) \approx \frac{m_B - m_D}{m_b(\mu) - m_c(\mu)}F_0(q^2), \quad F_P(q^2) \approx \frac{2m_{D^*}}{m_b(\mu)+m_c(\mu)}A_0(q^2), \tag{16}$$

where $m_{b,c}(\mu)$ are the current quark masses at the μ scale. According to the interactions in

Eq. (11), the decay amplitudes for $\bar{B} \to (D, D^*)\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ are then shown as:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_D &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} \left[F_1 \left(P^\mu - \frac{P\cdot q}{q^2} q^\mu \right) (\bar{\ell}\nu)_{V-A} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(m_\ell F_0 \frac{P\cdot q}{q^2} + (C_R^\ell + C_L^\ell)(m_B + m_D) F_S \right) (\bar{\ell}\nu)_{S-P} \right] , \\
A_{D^*}^L &= -i \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} \left\{ \epsilon^* \cdot q \left((C_R^\ell - C_L^\ell) F_P + 2A_0 \frac{m_{D^*} m_\ell}{q^2} \right) (\bar{\ell}\nu)_{S-P} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left[(m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1 \left(\epsilon_\mu^*(L) - \frac{\epsilon^*\cdot q}{q^2} q_\mu \right) - \frac{A_2 \epsilon^*\cdot q}{m_B + m_D} \left(P_\mu - \frac{P\cdot q}{q^2} q_\mu \right) \right] (\bar{\ell}\nu)_{V-A} , \right. \\
A_{D^*}^T &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} \left[\frac{V}{m_B + m_{D^*}} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_\nu^*(T) P^\rho q^\sigma - i(m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1 \epsilon_\mu^*(T) \right] (\bar{\ell}\nu)_{V-A} , \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have suppressed q^2-dependence in the form factors, and $A_{D^*}^L$ and $A_{D^*}^T$ denote the longitudinal and transverse components of D^*-meson, respectively. It can be seen that the charged Higgs only affects the longitudinal part.

In order to derive the differential decay rate with a specific lepton helicity, we set the coordinates of various kinematic variables in the rest frame of $\ell\bar{\nu}$ invariant mass as:

$$q = (\sqrt{q^2}, 0, 0, 0) , \; p_M = (E_M, 0, 0, p_M) , \; E_M = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{q^2}} (m_B^2 - q^2 - m_M^2) , \qquad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_M &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_M}}{2\sqrt{q^2}} , \; p_\nu = (E_\nu, p_\nu \sin\theta_\ell \cos\phi, p_\nu \sin\theta_\ell \sin\phi, p_\nu \cos\theta_\ell) , \; p_\ell = (E_\ell, -\vec{p}_\nu) , \\
\epsilon(L) &= \frac{1}{m_{D^*}} (p_{D^*}, 0, 0, E_{D^*}) , \; \epsilon(\pm) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (0, \mp 1, -i, 0) , \; p_\ell = p_\nu = \frac{q^2 - m_\ell^2}{2\sqrt{q^2}} , \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

where θ_ℓ is the polar angle of a neutrino with respect to the moving direction of M meson in the q^2 rest frame, and the components of $\vec{p}_\ell$ can be obtained from $\vec{p}_\nu$ by using $\pi - \theta_\ell$ and $\phi + \pi$ instead of θ_ℓ and ϕ. Since the SM neutrino is left-handed, if we neglect its small mass, its helicity has been fixed to be negative; therefore, we focus on the helicity amplitudes of a charged lepton. Accordingly, the charged lepton helicity amplitudes for the $\bar{B} \to D\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decay can be derived as:

$$A_D^{L,h=+} = \frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(2m_\ell \beta_\ell \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_D}}{\sqrt{q^2}} F_1 \cos\theta_\ell - 2\beta_\ell \sqrt{q^2} X_D^{0\ell} \right) , \qquad (20)$$

$$A_D^{L,h=-} = \frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-2\beta_\ell \sqrt{\lambda_D} F_1 \sin\theta_\ell \right) , \qquad (21)$$

$$X_D^{0\ell} = \frac{m_B^2 - m_D^2}{q^2} m_\ell F_0 + (m_B + m_D) \left(C_R^\ell + C_L^\ell \right) F_S , \qquad (22)$$

where $\beta_\ell = (1 - m_\ell^2/q^2)^{1/2}$, $h = +(-)$ denotes the positive (negative) helicity of a charged lepton, and the detailed spinor states and derivations of $(\bar{\ell}\nu)_{V-A}$ and $(\bar{\ell}\nu)_{S-P}$ with polarized

leptons are given in the appendix. Although D meson does not carry spin degrees of freedom, for using the similar notation as that in $\bar{B} \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decay, we put an extra index L in $A_D^{L,h=\pm}$.

With the same approach, we can obtain the helicity amplitudes for the $\bar{B} \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decay. Since the D^* meson is a vector boson and carries spin degrees of freedom; therefore, we separate the lepton helicity amplitudes as the longitudinal (L) and transverse (T) parts to show the information of each D^* polarization. Thus, the helicity amplitudes for $\bar{B} \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ with the D^* longitudinal polarization are found as:

$$A_{D^*}^{L,h=+} = -i\frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(2m_\ell \beta_\ell h_{D^*}^0 \cos\theta_\ell - 2\beta_\ell \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}}{\sqrt{q^2}} X_{D^*}^{0\ell} \right) , \tag{23}$$

$$A_{D^*}^{L,h=-} = -i\frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-2\sqrt{q^2}\beta_\ell h_{D^*}^0 \sin\theta_\ell \right) , \tag{24}$$

$$h_{D^*}^0(q^2) = \frac{1}{2m_{D^*}\sqrt{q^2}} \left[(m_B^2 - m_{D^*}^2 - q^2)(m_B + m_{D^*})A_1 - \frac{\lambda_{D^*}}{m_B + m_{D^*}} A_2 \right] ,$$

$$X_{D^*}^{0\ell} = m_\ell A_0 + \frac{q^2}{2m_D^*}(C_R^\ell - C_L^\ell)F_P \, . \tag{25}$$

It can be seen that the formulae of $A_{D^*}^{L,h=\pm}$ are similar to those of $A_D^{L,h=\pm}$. The decay amplitudes with the D^* transverse polarizations are given by:

$$A_{D^*}^{T=\pm,h=+} = i\frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[-\sqrt{2} m_\ell \beta_\ell \sin\theta_\ell e^{\mp i\phi} \right] h_{D^*}^\pm , \tag{26}$$

$$A_{D^*}^{T=\pm,h=-} = \mp i\frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[-\sqrt{2}\sqrt{q^2}\beta_\ell (1 \mp \cos\theta_\ell) e^{\mp i\phi} \right] h_{D^*}^\pm , \tag{27}$$

$$h_{D^*}^\pm = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}}{m_B + m_{D^*}} V \mp (m_B + m_{D^*}) A_1 \, .$$

Since the scalar charged Higgs cannot affect the transverse parts, the $A_{D^*}^{T=\pm,h=\pm}$ only are from the SM contributions. According to these helicity amplitudes, it can be clearly seen that due to angular-momentum conservation, the $A_D^{L,h=+}$ and $A_{D^*}^{L(T),h=+}$ which come from $\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)\nu$ are chiral suppression and proportional to m_ℓ. The charged lepton in $\bar{\ell}(1-\gamma_5)\nu$ prefers $h = +$ state and in principle has no chiral suppression; however, in our case, the chiral suppression exists and is from the charged-Higgs Yukawa couplings due to the Cheng-Sher ansatz.

Including the three-body phase space, the differential decay rates with lepton helicity and

D^* polarization as a function of q^2 and $\cos\theta_\ell$ can be obtained as:

$$\frac{d\Gamma_D^{h=\pm}}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_\ell} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_D}}{512\pi^3 m_B^3}\beta_\ell^2 \left|A_D^{L,h=\pm}\right|^2,$$
$$\frac{d\Gamma_{D^*}^{L(T),h=\pm}}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_\ell} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}}{512\pi^3 m_B^3}\beta_\ell^2 \left|A_{D^*}^{L(T),h=\pm}\right|^2. \tag{28}$$

The differential decay rates, which integrate out the polar θ_ℓ angle, are shown in the appendix. In addition to the branching fraction ratios R_D and R_{D^*}, based on Eq. (28), we can also study the lepton helicity asymmetry [10, 15, 54] and forward-backward asymmetry (FBA) [55, 56]. For helicity asymmetry, it can be defined as

$$P_M^\ell = \frac{\Gamma_M^{h=+} - \Gamma_M^{h=-}}{\Gamma_M^{h=+} + \Gamma_M^{h=-}}, \tag{29}$$

where $M = D, D^*$ and $\Gamma_{D^*}^{h=\pm} = \sum_{\lambda=L,\pm}\Gamma_{D^*}^{\lambda,h=\pm}$ have summed all D^* polarizations. From Eqs. (48) and (50), the lepton helicity asymmetries for $\bar{B}\to(D,D^*)\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ with charged Higgs effects can be found as:

$$P_D^\ell = \frac{\int_{m_\ell^2}^{q^2_{\rm max}} dq^2\sqrt{\lambda_D}\beta_\ell^4\left[\frac{2}{3}(m_\ell^2-2q^2)\lambda_D F_1^2/q^2 + 2m_\ell^2 q^2|X_D^{0\ell}|^2\right]}{\int_{m_\ell^2}^{q^2_{\rm max}} dq^2\sqrt{\lambda_D}\beta_\ell^4\left[\frac{2}{3}(m_\ell^2+2q^2)\lambda_D F_1^2/q^2 + 2m_\ell^2 q^2|X_D^{0\ell}|^2\right]}, \tag{30}$$

$$P_{D^*}^\ell = \frac{\int_{m_\ell^2}^{q^2_{\rm max}} dq^2\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}\beta_\ell^4\left[\frac{2}{3}(m_\ell^2-2q^2)\left(\sum_{\lambda=L,\pm}|h_{D^*}^\lambda|^2\right) + 2(m_\ell^2/q^2)\lambda_{D^*}|X_{D^*}^{0\ell}|^2\right]}{\int_{m_\ell^2}^{q^2_{\rm max}} dq^2\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}\beta_\ell^4\left[\frac{2}{3}(m_\ell^2+2q^2)\left(\sum_{\lambda=L,\pm}|h_{D^*}^\lambda|^2\right) + 2(m_\ell^2/q^2)\lambda_{D^*}|X_{D^*}^{0\ell}|^2\right]}. \tag{31}$$

The lepton FBA can be defined as:

$$A_{FB}^{M,\ell}(q^2) = \frac{\int_0^1 dz(d\Gamma_M/dq^2dz) - \int_{-1}^0 dz(d\Gamma_M/dq^2dz)}{\int_0^1 dz(d\Gamma_M/dq^2dz) + \int_{-1}^0 dz(d\Gamma_M/dq^2dz)}, \tag{32}$$

where Γ_M denotes the total partial decay rate for the $\bar{B}\to M\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decay. Accordingly, the FBAs mediated by the charged Higgs and W-boson in $\bar{B}\to(D,D^*)\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ are obtained as:

$$A_{FB}^{D,\ell}(q^2) = -\frac{2m_\ell\sqrt{\lambda_D}F_1 X_D^0}{H_D^+ + H_D^-},$$
$$A_{FB}^{D^*,\ell}(q^2) = \frac{1}{\sum_{\lambda=L,\pm}(H_{D^*}^{\lambda,+} + H_{D^*}^{\lambda,-})}\left[-2m_\ell\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}}{\sqrt{q^2}}h_{D^*}^0 X_{D^*}^0 + 4q^2\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}A_1 V\right]. \tag{33}$$

From Eq. (33), it can be seen that the FBAs in the $A_{FB}^{D,\ell}$ and longitudinal part of $A_{FB}^{D^*,\ell}$ depend on m_ℓ and are chiral suppressed. Due to $m_\tau/m_b\sim 0.4$, which is not highly suppressed, we expect that $\bar{B}\to D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ can have a sizable FBA. Moreover, since $A_{FB}^{D^*,\ell}$ does not vanish in the chiral limit, it can be large in a light charged lepton mode.

Before presenting the detailed numerical analysis, we first discuss the influence of $\chi^{\ell}_{\ell\ell}$ and χ^{u}_{ct} on the C^{ℓ}_{R} and C^{ℓ}_{L}. From Eqs. (22) and (25), it can be seen that the $\bar{B} \to (D, D^*)\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays are related to $C^{\ell}_{R} + C^{\ell}_{L}$ and $C^{\ell}_{R} - C^{\ell}_{L}$, respectively. If the charged-Higgs can resolve the anomalies, the main effects then are on the $\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ modes due to the lepton mass dependent Yukawa couplings. In the following study, we concentrate on the $\bar{B} \to (D, D^*)\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ decays. Since the measured R_D and R_{D^*} are somewhat higher than the SM predictions, the $H^{\pm}$ effects should constructively interfere with the SM contributions. Thus, we have to require $C^{\tau}_{R} \pm C^{\tau}_{L} > 0$. Furthermore, from Eq. (23), the charged-Higgs contribution is associated with $\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}$ factor, which represents $|\vec{p}_{D^*}|$ and decreases while q^2 increases; as a result, the $H^{\pm}$ effects on the $BR(\bar{B} \to D^*\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau)$ are not as sensitive as those on the $BR(\bar{B} \to D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau)$. Therefore, to simultaneously enhance R_D and R_{D^*} through the mediation of the charged-Higgs, we conclude $C^{\tau}_{R} - C^{\tau}_{L} > C^{\tau}_{R} + C^{\tau}_{L} > 0$; that is, $C^{\tau}_{R} > 0 > C^{\tau}_{L}$. We note that $C^{\ell}_{R,L}$ from other scalar boson can in general be much larger than the SM contributions so that the interference effects are not important. Since the $H^{\pm}$ couplings are proportional to the $m_\ell \tan\beta/v$, even with a large value of $\tan\beta$ case, the effects are naturally limited. Hence, our discussions can be only applied to the charged-Higgs-like case.

Following the analysis above, we now discuss the roles of $\chi^{\ell}_{\tau\tau}$ and χ^{u}_{ct} in the C^{τ}_{R} and C^{τ}_{L}. From Eq. (13), it can be seen that without $\chi^{\ell}_{\tau\tau}$, $C^{\tau}_{R} < 0$. In other words, we need $\chi^{\ell}_{\tau\tau}$ to tune the sign of C^{τ}_{R} from negative to positive. According to Eq. (12), if we take $C^{\tau}_{R} > 0$ and ignore the χ^{u}_{ct} factor, the sign of C^{τ}_{L} is positive, which disfavors our earlier conclusion. Hence, we need χ^{u}_{ct} to flip the positive C^{τ}_{L} to be negative one. In addition to the signs of C^{τ}_{R} and C^{τ}_{L}, their magnitudes are also important issue. As known that the charged-Higgs Yukawa couplings generally depend on the fermion mass, except the top-quark, the couplings are suppressed by the m_f/v. To enhance the involving Yukawa couplings, we adopt the scenario with a large value of $\tan\beta$.

To estimate the physical quantities of the exclusive semileptonic B decays, we use the $B \to M$ form factors, which were calculated in the framework of relativistic quark models [59]. With the form factors shown in [59], we obtain the BRs in the SM as:

$$\begin{aligned} BR(B^- \to D^{0[*]}\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell) &\approx 2.13[5.72]\%\,, \\ BR(B^- \to D^{0[*]}\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau) &\approx 0.62[1.40]\%\,, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where the experimental results are [60]:

$$BR^{\rm exp}(B^- \to D^{0[*]}\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell) = (2.27 \pm 0.11)[5.69 \pm 0.19]\%\,,$$
$$BR^{\rm exp}(B^- \to D^{0[*]}\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau) = (0.77 \pm 0.25)[1.88 \pm 0.20]\%\,. \quad (35)$$

It can be seen that the values of $BR^{\rm exp}(B^- \to [D^0, D^{0*}]\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau)$ are somewhat larger than the theoretical estimations. The resulted ratios R_D and R_{D^*} and tau polarizations in the SM are given as:

$$R_D \approx 0.292, \quad R_{D^*} \approx 0.245\,, \quad (36)$$
$$P_D^{\tau(\mu)} \approx 0.331(-0.967)\,, \quad P_{D^*}^{\tau(\mu)} \approx -0.513(-0.986)\,. \quad (37)$$

Their current experimental measurements can be referred to Eqs. (2) and (3).

In order to present the charged-Higgs influence, we first show the contours for the $BR(B^- \to D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau)$ (dashed) and $BR(B^- \to D^*\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau)$ (solid) as a function of $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau}$ and χ^u_{ct} with $\tan\beta = 50$ in Fig. 1(a). We also show the similar plot as a function of χ^u_{ct} and $\tan\beta$ with $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau} = 6$ in Fig. 1(b). Hereafter, we fix $m_{H^\pm} = 400$ GeV for the numerical calculations. Due to $m_\ell \ll m_\tau$, the charged-Higgs effects on the light leptons are small; thus, we only show the $\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ modes. From both plots, it can be seen that the BRs of $\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ modes can be enhanced by $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau}$ and a large value of $\tan\beta$. Since the charged-Higgs only affects the D^* longitudinal part in the $B^- \to D^*\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ decay and such part is only around 50% of the BR, therefore, $B^- \to D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ is more sensitive to χ^u_{ct}.

With the same conditions, which are used for Fig. 1(a) and (b), we show R_D and R_{D^*} as a function of $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau}$ and χ^u_{ct} in Fig. 2(a) and of $\tan\beta$ and χ^u_{ct} in Fig. 2(b), where we fix $\tan\beta = 50$ and $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau} = 6$ in the plots, respectively. According to our numerical results, we adopt two limits, $\chi^u_{ct} = 0$ and $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau} = 0$, to concretely show their importance. With $\chi^u_{ct} = 0$, we get $R_{D^*} \sim 0.3$ when $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau} \sim 15$; however, the corresponding value of R_D has been over one. In such case, the values of C^τ_R and C^τ_L are: $C^\tau_R \sim 1.79 \gg C^\tau_L \sim 0$. Since $B^- \to D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ is sensitive to $C^\tau_R + C^\tau_L$, when we require $R_{D^*} \sim 0.3$, it can be expected that R_D is significantly enhanced. In addition, from Fig. 1, we also see that $BR(B^- \to D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau) \gg BR^{\rm exp}(B^- \to D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau)$ when $BR(B^- \to D^*\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau)$ is consistent with the experimental measurement. With $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau} = 0$, we obtain $R_D \sim 0.35$ with $\chi^u_{ct} \sim 1$, but $R_{D^*} \sim 0.24$, where $C^\tau_{R,L} \sim (-0.13, 0.24)$, which disfavor the earlier conclusion with $C^\tau_R > 0$ and $C^\tau_L < 0$. Based on these two limits, it is clear that neither χ^u_{ct} nor $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau}$ can singly resolve the R_D and R_{D^*} anomalies at the same time. From



FIG. 1: Contours for $BR(B^- \to D\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau)$ (dashed) and $BR(B^- \to D^*\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau)$ (solid) as a function of χ^u_{ct} and (a) $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau}$ with $\tan\beta = 50$, (b) $\tan\beta$ with $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau} = 6$, where we have fixed $m_{H^\pm} = 400$ GeV.

Fig. 2(a), it can be seen that by properly adjusting both χ^u_{ct} and $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau}$, the R_D and R_{D^*} excesses can be together explained and the BRs of $\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ modes are still consistent with the current experimental data.



FIG. 2: Contours for R_D (dashed) and R_{D^*} (solid) as a function of χ^u_{ct} and (a) $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau}$ with $\tan\beta = 50$, (b) $\tan\beta$ with $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau} = 6$, where we have fixed $m_{H^\pm} = 400$ GeV.

Belle collaboration recently reported the measurement of τ polarization in the $\bar{B} \to D^*\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ decay. If the R_D and R_{D^*} excesses originate from the new physics, the τ polarization can be also influenced. To understand the charged-Higgs contributions, according to Eq. (31), we plot the contours for P^τ_D (dashed) and $P^\tau_{D^*}$ (solid) as a function of χ^u_{ct} and $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau}$ with

$\tan\beta = 50$ in Fig. 3(a); and the dependence of χ^u_{ct} and $\tan\beta$ with $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau} = 6$ is shown in Fig. 3(b). From the numerical analysis, it can be seen that $P^\tau_{D^*}$ and P^τ_D are more sensitive to $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau}$ and χ^u_{ct}, and they can be enhanced from ~ -0.5 to ~ -0.3 and 0.3 to 0.5, respectively, where the resulted R_D, R_{D^*}, and BRs are all consistent with data.



FIG. 3: Contours for P^τ_D (dashed) and $P^\tau_{D^*}$ (solid) as a function of χ^u_{ct} and (a) $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau}$ with $\tan\beta = 50$, (b) $\tan\beta$ with $\chi^\ell_{\tau\tau} = 6$, where we have fixed $m_{H^\pm} = 400$ GeV.

Finally, we show the numerical results for the FBA. Since the τ lepton FBAs have not yet observed, for demonstrating the charged-Higgs contributions, we adopt the integrated FBAs, which are defined as:

$$\bar{A}^{D,\tau}_{FB} = -\frac{\int_{m_\tau^2}^{(m_B-m_D)^2} dq^2\,(2m_\tau^2\lambda_D\beta_\tau^4 F_1 X_D^{0\tau})}{\int_{m_\tau^2}^{(m_B-m_D)^2} dq^2\sqrt{\lambda_D}\beta_\tau^4(H_D^+ + H_D^-)}\,,$$
$$\bar{A}^{D^*,\tau}_{FB} = \frac{\int_{m_\tau^2}^{(m_B-m_{D^*})^2} dq^2\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}\beta_\tau^4\left(-2m_\tau\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}}{\sqrt{q^2}}h^0_{D^*}X^{0\tau}_{D^*} + 4q^2\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}A_1 V\right)}{\int_{m_\tau^2}^{(m_B-m_{D^*})^2} dq^2\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}\beta_\tau^4\sum_{\lambda=L,\pm}(H^{\lambda,+}_{D^*} + H^{\lambda,-}_{D^*})}\,. \qquad (38)$$

The results with some chosen benchmarks of $(\chi^u_{ct}, \chi^\ell_{\ell\ell})$ are given in Table I. From the table, it can be seen that $\bar{A}^{D^*,\tau}_{FB}$ is more sensitive to the charged Higgs effects. In addition to the magnitude of $\bar{A}^{D^*,\tau}_{FB}$, its sign can be reversed. Moreover, the magnitude of $\bar{A}^{D^*,\tau}_{FB}$ is smaller than that of $\bar{A}^{D,\tau}_{FB}$. These behaviors can be understood from Eq. (38), in which the first and second terms in the numerator are opposite in sign and are from D^* longitudinal and transverse parts, respectively. Although the first term is proportional to m_ℓ, when $m_\ell = m_\tau$, the contribution from the first becomes compatible with the second so that the sign can be

flipped. We can thus use this sign to indicate the charged-Higgs influence. For comparisons, we also show the values of R_D, R_{D^*}, P_D^τ, and $P_{D^*}^\tau$ in the table.

TABLE I: Values of integrated FBA, $R_{D(D^*)}$, and $P_{D(D^*)}^\tau$ with and without charged Higgs effects, where we have fixed $m_{H^\pm} = 400$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 50$.

$(\chi_{ct}^u,\, \chi_{\tau\tau}^\ell)$	$(0, 0)$	(0.4, 5)	(0.4, 6)	(0.5, 5)	(0.5, 6)
$\bar{A}_{FB}^{D,\tau}$	-0.36	-0.33	-0.32	-0.35	-0.34
$\bar{A}_{FB}^{D^*,\tau}$	0.045	-0.02	-0.03	-0.026	-0.04
R_D	0.292	0.394	0.423	0.339	0.352
R_{D^*}	0.245	0.271	0.279	0.274	0.284
P_D^τ	0.331	0.504	0.538	0.423	0.444
$P_{D^*}^\tau$	-0.513	-0.366	-0.325	-0.350	-0.304

In summary, we studied the charged-Higgs $H^\pm$ effects on the $\bar{B} \to (D, D^*)\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays in a generic two-Higgs-doublet model. In order to parametrize the new $H^\pm$ Yukawa couplings to the quarks and leptons, we employ the Cheng-Sher ansatz. Accordingly, the third-generation b-quark and τ-lepton related processes are dominant and can then be enhanced by a scheme with large $\tan\beta$. Based on this study, it is seen that we need two parameters (χ_{ct}^u & $\chi_{\tau\tau}^\ell$) to explain the R_D and R_{D^*} excesses and simultaneously fit the measured branching ratios of $\bar{B} \to (D, D^*)\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ within 2σ errors. The τ polarizations in the $\bar{B} \to (D, D^*)\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ decays were calculated; and it is found that they are sensitive to the $H^\pm$ effects. The integrated τ-lepton forward-backward asymmetry were studied. We found that the asymmetry of $\bar{B} \to D^*\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ is more sensitive to the $H^\pm$ effects. Not only can the magnitude of the asymmetry but also its sign be taken as an indication of the charged-Higgs contribution.

Appendix

In order to derive the charged lepton helicity amplitudes in the $\bar{B} \to M\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decay, we need the specific spinor states of a charged lepton and neutrino in the q^2 rest frame. Let $p = (E, \vec{p})$ be the four-momentum of a spin-1/2 particle, the solutions of the Dirac equation

for positive and negative energy are expressed as:

$$u_{\pm}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E+m}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{E+m}\chi_{\pm}(\vec{p}) \\ \vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p}\chi_{\pm}(\vec{p}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{\pm}(p) \quad = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E+m}} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p}\chi_{\mp}(\vec{p}) \\ \sqrt{E+m}\chi_{\mp}(\vec{p}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (39)$$

where the $\pm$ indices in χ are the eigenvalues of $\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p}/|\vec{p}|$, and $+/-$ denote the left-/right-handed states, respectively. If the spatial momentum of a particle is taken as $\vec{p} = p(\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta)$, the eigenstates of $\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p}$ can be found as:

$$\chi_{+}(\vec{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \\ e^{i\phi}\sin\frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi_{-}(\vec{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\frac{\theta}{2} \\ -e^{i\phi}\cos\frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (40)$$

With the Pauli-Dirac representation of γ-matrices, which are defined as:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (41)$$

we get $\bar{\ell}_{u_\pm}[...](1-\gamma_5)\nu_{v_+} = 2\bar{\ell}_{u_\pm}[...]\nu_{v_+}$ and $\bar{\ell}[...](1-\gamma_5)\nu_{v_+} = 0$ when $m_\nu = 0$ is applied, in which $[...] = \{1, \gamma^\mu, \sigma^{\mu\nu}\}$.

For simplifying the derivations of $\bar{\ell}_{u_\pm}[...](1-\gamma_5)\nu_{v_+}$, we define some useful polarization vectors as:

$$\begin{aligned} |\vec{P}|\epsilon_X^\mu &\equiv P^\mu - \frac{P\cdot q}{q^2}q^\mu, \quad \epsilon_X^\mu \epsilon_{X\mu} = -1, \\ \frac{E_{D^*}}{m_{D^*}}\epsilon_Z^\mu &\equiv \epsilon^\mu(L) - \frac{\epsilon\cdot q}{q^2}q^\mu, \quad \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{D^*}}{2}}e_{D^*}^\mu(T) \equiv \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\epsilon_\nu(T)P_\rho q_\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

with $|\vec{P}| = \sqrt{\lambda_M}/\sqrt{q^2}$. According to the chosen coordinates in the q^2 rest frame, the leptonic current associated with a specific charged lepton helicity can be derived as follows: for the $B \to D$ case, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\ell}_{u_+}\not{\epsilon}_X(1-\gamma_5)\nu_{v_+} &= 2m_\ell\beta_\ell\cos\theta_\ell, \\ \bar{\ell}_{u_+}(1-\gamma_5)\nu_{v_+} &= -2\sqrt{q^2}\beta_\ell, \\ \bar{\ell}_{u_-}\not{\epsilon}_X(1-\gamma_5)\nu_{v_+} &= -2\sqrt{q^2}\beta_\ell\sin\theta_\ell, \\ \bar{\ell}_{u_-}(1-\gamma_5)\nu_{v_+} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

with $\beta_\ell = \sqrt{1-m_\ell^2/q^2}$. For the $B \to D^*$ case, the D^* longitudinal parts are obtained as:

$$\bar{\ell}_{u_+}\not{\epsilon}_Z(1-\gamma_5)\nu_{v_+} = 2m_\ell\beta_\ell\cos\theta_\ell, \quad (44)$$

$$\bar{\ell}_{u_-}\not{\epsilon}_Z(1-\gamma_5)\nu_{v_+} = -2\sqrt{q^2}\beta_\ell\sin\theta_\ell, \quad (45)$$

while the two D^* transverse parts are respectively given as:

$$\bar{\ell}_{u_+}\not{\epsilon}_{D^*}(T)(1-\gamma_5)\nu_{v_+} = -2m_\ell\beta_\ell \begin{cases} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\theta_\ell e^{-i\phi}\ (T=+)\,, \\ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\theta_\ell e^{i\phi}\ (T=-)\,, \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

$$\bar{\ell}_{u_-}\not{\epsilon}_{D^*}(T)(1-\gamma_5)\nu_{v_+} = -2\sqrt{q^2}\beta_\ell \begin{cases} \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}(1-\cos\theta_\ell)e^{-i\phi}\ (T=+)\,, \\ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(1+\cos\theta_\ell)e^{i\phi}\ (T=-)\,. \end{cases}\,, \tag{47}$$

The differential decay rates shown in Eq. (28) are functions of q^2 and θ_ℓ. After integrating out the polar angle, the differential decay rate with each lepton helicity as a function of q^2 can be obtained as follows. For the $\bar{B}\to D\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decay, they can be shown as:

$$\frac{d\Gamma_D^{h=\pm}}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2|V_{cb}|^2\sqrt{\lambda_D}\beta_\ell^4}{256\pi^3 m_B^3}H_D^\pm\,, \tag{48}$$

$$H_D^+ = \frac{2m_\ell^2}{3q^2}\lambda_D F_1^2 + 2m_\ell^2 q^2|X_D^0|^2\,,\ H_D^- = \frac{4}{3}\lambda_D F_1^2\,; \tag{49}$$

and for the $\bar{B}\to D^*\ell\nu_\ell$ decay, they are expressed as:

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{D^*}^{\lambda,h=\pm}}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2|V_{cb}|^2\sqrt{\lambda_{D^*}}\beta_\ell^4}{256\pi^3 m_B^3}H_{D^*}^{\lambda,\pm}\,, \tag{50}$$

$$H_{D^*}^{L,+} = \frac{2m_\ell^2}{3}|h_{D^*}^0|^2 + \frac{2m_\ell^2}{q^2}\lambda_{D^*}|X_{D^*}^0|^2\,,\ H_{D^*}^{L,-} = \frac{4q^2}{3}|h_{D^*}^0|^2\,, \tag{51}$$

$$H_{D^*}^{T=\pm,+} = \frac{2m_\ell^2}{3}|h_{D^*}^\pm|^2\,,\ H_{D^*}^{T=\pm,-} = \frac{4q^2}{3}|h_{D^*}^\pm|^2 \tag{52}$$

[1] J. P. Lees *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 101802 (2012) [arXiv:1205.5442 [hep-ex]].

[2] J. P. Lees *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 7, 072012 (2013) [arXiv:1303.0571 [hep-ex]].

[3] M. Huschle *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 7, 072014 (2015) [arXiv:1507.03233 [hep-ex]].

[4] A. Abdesselam *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], arXiv:1603.06711 [hep-ex].

[5] S. Hirose *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], arXiv:1612.00529 [hep-ex].

[6] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 11, 111803 (2015) Addendum: [Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 15, 159901 (2015)] [arXiv:1506.08614 [hep-ex]].

[7] Y. Amhis *et al.*, arXiv:1612.07233 [hep-ex].

[8] S. Fajfer, J. F. Kamenik, I. Nisandzic and J. Zupan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 161801 (2012) [arXiv:1206.1872 [hep-ph]].

[9] A. Crivellin, C. Greub and A. Kokulu, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 054014 (2012) [arXiv:1206.2634 [hep-ph]].

[10] A. Datta, M. Duraisamy and D. Ghosh, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 034027 (2012) [arXiv:1206.3760 [hep-ph]].

[11] J. A. Bailey *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 071802 (2012) [arXiv:1206.4992 [hep-ph]].

[12] N. G. Deshpande and A. Menon, JHEP **1301**, 025 (2013) [arXiv:1208.4134 [hep-ph]].

[13] A. Celis, M. Jung, X. Q. Li and A. Pich, JHEP **1301**, 054 (2013) [arXiv:1210.8443 [hep-ph]].

[14] X. G. He and G. Valencia, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 1, 014014 (2013) [arXiv:1211.0348 [hep-ph]].

[15] M. Tanaka and R. Watanabe, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 3, 034028 (2013) [arXiv:1212.1878 [hep-ph]].

[16] P. Ko, Y. Omura and C. Yu, JHEP **1303**, 151 (2013) [arXiv:1212.4607 [hep-ph]].

[17] P. Biancofiore, P. Colangelo and F. De Fazio, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 7, 074010 (2013) [arXiv:1302.1042 [hep-ph]].

[18] I. Dorsner, S. Fajfer, N. Kosnik and I. Nisandzic, JHEP **1311**, 084 (2013) [arXiv:1306.6493 [hep-ph]].

[19] Y. Sakaki, M. Tanaka, A. Tayduganov and R. Watanabe, Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 9, 094012 (2013) [arXiv:1309.0301 [hep-ph]].

[20] A. Abada, A. M. Teixeira, A. Vicente and C. Weiland, JHEP **1402**, 091 (2014) [arXiv:1311.2830 [hep-ph]].

[21] R. Alonso, B. Grinstein and J. Martin Camalich, JHEP **1510**, 184 (2015) [arXiv:1505.05164 [hep-ph]].

[22] A. Greljo, G. Isidori and D. Marzocca, JHEP **1507**, 142 (2015) [arXiv:1506.01705 [hep-ph]].

[23] L. Calibbi, A. Crivellin and T. Ota, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 181801 (2015) [arXiv:1506.02661 [hep-ph]].

[24] M. Freytsis, Z. Ligeti and J. T. Ruderman, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 5, 054018 (2015) [arXiv:1506.08896 [hep-ph]].

[25] A. Crivellin, J. Heeck and P. Stoffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no. 8, 081801 (2016) [arXiv:1507.07567 [hep-ph]].

[26] S. Bhattacharya, S. Nandi and S. K. Patra, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 3, 034011 (2016) [arXiv:1509.07259 [hep-ph]].

[27] M. Bauer and M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no. 14, 141802 (2016) [arXiv:1511.01900 [hep-ph]].

[28] C. Hati, G. Kumar and N. Mahajan, JHEP **1601**, 117 (2016) [arXiv:1511.03290 [hep-ph]].

[29] S. Fajfer and N. Kosnik, Phys. Lett. B **755**, 270 (2016) [arXiv:1511.06024 [hep-ph]].

[30] R. Barbieri, G. Isidori, A. Pattori and F. Senia, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 2, 67 (2016) [arXiv:1512.01560 [hep-ph]].

[31] J. Zhu, H. M. Gan, R. M. Wang, Y. Y. Fan, Q. Chang and Y. G. Xu, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 9, 094023 (2016) [arXiv:1602.06491 [hep-ph]].

[32] R. Alonso, A. Kobach and J. Martin Camalich, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 9, 094021 (2016) [arXiv:1602.07671 [hep-ph]].

[33] S. M. Boucenna, A. Celis, J. Fuentes-Martin, A. Vicente and J. Virto, Phys. Lett. B **760**, 214 (2016) [arXiv:1604.03088 [hep-ph]].

[34] D. Das, C. Hati, G. Kumar and N. Mahajan, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 055034 (2016) [arXiv:1605.06313 [hep-ph]].

[35] G. Cvetic and C. S. Kim, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 5, 053001 (2016) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 3, 039901 (2017)] [arXiv:1606.04140 [hep-ph]].

[36] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner and C. T. Tran, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 9, 094028 (2016) [arXiv:1607.02932 [hep-ph]].

[37] S. M. Boucenna, A. Celis, J. Fuentes-Martin, A. Vicente and J. Virto, JHEP **1612**, 059 (2016) [arXiv:1608.01349 [hep-ph]].

[38] N. G. Deshpande and X. G. He, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, no. 2, 134 (2017) [arXiv:1608.04817 [hep-ph]].

[39] D. Becirevic, S. Fajfer, N. Kosnik and O. Sumensari, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 11, 115021 (2016) [arXiv:1608.08501 [hep-ph]].

[40] S. Sahoo, R. Mohanta and A. K. Giri, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 3, 035027 (2017) [arXiv:1609.04367 [hep-ph]].

[41] B. Bhattacharya, A. Datta, J. P. Guevin, D. London and R. Watanabe, JHEP **1701**, 015 (2017) [arXiv:1609.09078 [hep-ph]].

[42] G. Hiller, D. Loose and K. Schonwald, JHEP **1612**, 027 (2016) [arXiv:1609.08895 [hep-ph]].

[43] L. Wang, J. M. Yang and Y. Zhang, arXiv:1610.05681 [hep-ph].

[44] X. Q. Li, Y. D. Yang and X. Zhang, JHEP **1702**, 068 (2017) [arXiv:1611.01635 [hep-ph]].

[45] S. Bhattacharya, S. Nandi and S. K. Patra, arXiv:1611.04605 [hep-ph].

[46] R. Alonso, B. Grinstein and J. Martin Camalich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, no. 8, 081802 (2017) [arXiv:1611.06676 [hep-ph]].

[47] A. Celis, M. Jung, X. Q. Li and A. Pich, arXiv:1612.07757 [hep-ph].

[48] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner and C. T. Tran, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 3, 036021 (2017) [arXiv:1701.02937 [hep-ph]].

[49] M. Wei and Y. Chong-Xing, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 3, 035040 (2017) [arXiv:1702.01255 [hep-ph]].

[50] G. Cvetic, F. Halzen, C. S. Kim and S. Oh, arXiv:1702.04335 [hep-ph].

[51] C. H. Chen, T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1703.03251 [hep-ph].

[52] J. Hernandez-Sanchez, S. Moretti, R. Noriega-Papaqui and A. Rosado, JHEP **1307**, 044 (2013) [arXiv:1212.6818 [hep-ph]].

[53] R. Benbrik, C. H. Chen and T. Nomura, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 9, 095004 (2016) [arXiv:1511.08544 [hep-ph]].

[54] M. Tanaka and R. Watanabe, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 034027 (2010) [arXiv:1005.4306 [hep-ph]].

[55] C. H. Chen and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 077501 (2005) [hep-ph/0503123].

[56] C. H. Chen and C. Q. Geng, JHEP **0610**, 053 (2006) [hep-ph/0608166].

[57] Y. H. Ahn and C. H. Chen, Phys. Lett. B **690**, 57 (2010) [arXiv:1002.4216 [hep-ph]].

[58] T. P. Cheng and M. Sher, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3484 (1987).

[59] D. Melikhov and B. Stech, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 014006 (2000) [hep-ph/0001113].

[60] C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, **40**, 100001 (2016).